

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/980,376	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HAUMONT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> JOEL AJAYI	<b>Art Unit</b> 2617	

**All Participants:**

(1) JOEL AJAYI.

(2) NATHANIEL QUIRK.

**Status of Application:** RCE

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 January 2011

**Time:** 3:00 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 9, 12, 13, 16, 97,99

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Examiner proposed an examiner's amendment. Attorney will look into it and obtain applicant's approval.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)